FIVE COUNTY ASSOCIATION OF GOVERNMENTS COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT GENERAL POLICIES

- Weighted Value utilized for Rating and Ranking Criteria: The Rating and Ranking Criteria utilized by the Five County Association of Governments contains a weighted value for each of the criteria. Point values are assessed for each criteria and totaled. In the right hand columns the total points received are then multiplied by a weighted value to obtain the total score. These weighted values may change from year to year based on the region's determination of which criteria have higher priority.
- 2. Five County AOG staff will visit each applicant on site for an evaluation/review meeting.
- 3. All applications will be evaluated by the Five County Association of Governments Community and Economic Development staff using criteria approved by the Steering Committee.
- 4. Staff will present prioritization recommendation to the RRC (Steering Committee) for consideration and approval.
- 5. Maximum amount per year \$150,000.00.
- 6. Maximum years for multi-year is 2 years at \$150,000 per year.
- 7. All applications for multi-year funding must contain a complete budget and budget breakdown for each specific year of funding.
- 8. Applications on behalf of sub-recipients (i.e., special service districts, non-profit organizations, etc.) are encouraged. However, the applicant city or county must understand that even if they name the sub-recipient as project manager the city/county is still responsible for the project's viability and program compliance. The applying entity must be willing to maintain an active oversight of both the project and the sub-recipient's contract performance. An inter-local agreement between the applicant entity and the sub-recipient must accompany the pre-application. The inter-local agreement must detail who will be the project manager and how the sponsoring entity and sub-recipient will coordinate work on the project. A letter from the governing board of the sub-recipient requesting the sponsorship of the project must accompany the pre-application. This letter must be signed by the board chairperson.
- 9. Projects must be consistent with the District's Consolidated Plan. The project applied for must be included in the prioritized capital improvements list that the entity submitted for inclusion in the Consolidated Plan. Projects sponsored on behalf of an eligible subrecipient may not necessarily be listed in the jurisdictions capital investment plan, but the sub-recipient's project must meet goals identified in the region's Consolidated Plan.
- 10. Previously allocated pre-approved funding:
 - >>> \$150,000 Five County AOG (Planning and Technical Assistance Grant)
 - \$150,000 Cedar City on behalf of Cedar Housing Authority 2nd year of multi-year project

- >>> \$150,000 Ivins City 2nd year of multi-year project
- 11. Set-aside Funding:
 - Approximately \$176,000 has been set-aside specifically for brick and mortar projects focused on addressing homelessness. Applicants may apply for funds up to the total amount of available set-aside funding.
- 12. Emergency projects may be considered by the Regional Review Committee (FCAOG Steering Committee) at any time. Projects applying for emergency funding must still meet a national objective and regional goals and policies.

Projects may be considered as an emergency application if:

- Funding through the normal application time frame will create an unreasonable risk to health or property.
- An appropriate third party agency has documented a specific risk (or risks) that; in their opinion; needs immediate remediation.
- >>> Cost overruns from a previously funded project may be funded only if the RCC (Steering Committee) deems it an appropriate emergency.

If an applicant wishes to consider applying for emergency funds, they should contact the Five County Association of Governments CDBG Program Specialist as soon as possible to discuss the state required application procedure as well as regional criteria. Emergency funds (distributed statewide) are limited on an annual basis to \$500,000. The amount of any emergency funds distributed during the year will be subtracted from the top of the appropriate regional allocation during the next funding cycle.

- 13. Public service providers, traditionally non-profit organizations, are encouraged to apply for CDBG funds for capital improvement and major equipment purchases. Examples are delivery trucks, furnishings, fixtures, computer equipment, construction, remodeling, and facility expansion. State policy prohibits use of CDBG funds for operating and maintenance expenses. This includes paying administrative costs, salaries, etc. No more than 15 percent of the state's yearly allocation of funds may be expended for public service activities.
- 14. State policy has established the minimum project size at \$30,000. Projects less than the minimum size will not be considered for rating and ranking.
- 15. In accordance with state policy, grantees with open grants from previous years who have yet to spend 50 percent of their previous grant are not eligible to be rated and ranked with the exception of housing rehabilitation projects.
- 16. It is the policy of the Five County Association of Governments RRC (Steering Committee) that CDBG funds in this region be directed to the development of brick and mortar LMI housing projects, or utilized for necessary infrastructure for that housing. CDBG funds in this region shall not be utilized for LMI rental assistance.

FIVE COUNTY ASSOCIATION OF GOVERNMENTS HOW-TO-APPLY CDBG APPLICATION WORKSHOP ATTENDANCE POLICY

Attendance at one workshop within the region is mandatory by all prospective applicants or an "OFFICIAL" representative of said applicant. [State Policy]

Attendance at the workshop by a county commissioner, mayor, city council member, or county clerk satisfies the above referenced attendance requirement of the prospective applicant's jurisdiction. In addition, attendance by a city manager, town clerk, or county administrator also satisfies this requirement.

Jurisdictions may formally designate a third party representative (i.e., other city/county staff, consultant, engineer, or architect) to attend the workshop on their behalf. Said designation by the jurisdiction shall be in writing. The letter of designation shall be provided to the Five County Association no later than at the beginning of the workshop.

Attendance by prospective eligible "sub-grantees", which may include non-profit agencies, special service districts, housing authorities, etc. is strongly recommended so that they may become familiar with the application procedures. If a city/town or county elects to sponsor a sub-grantee it is the responsibility of that jurisdiction to ensure the timely and accurate preparation of the CDBG application on behalf of the sub-grantee.

Extraordinary circumstances relating to this policy shall be presented to the Executive Director of the Five County Association of Governments for consideration by the Regional Review Committee (Steering Committee).

Proposed 2009 Regional Prioritization Criteria and Justification

<u>Criteria # 9: Regional Project Priority</u> Project priority rating with regional goals and policies. Regional prioritization is determined by the Executive Director with consultation of the AOG Executive Committee.

#1 priority	5 points X 2.5 (weighting)=	12.5 points
#2 priority	4 points X 2.5 (weighting)=	10.0 points
#3 priority	3 points X 2.5 (weighting)=	7.5 points
#4 priority	2 points X 2.5 (weighting)=	5.0 points
#5 priority	1 point X 2.5 (weighting)=	2.5 points
#6 priority	0.0 points =	0.0 points

Regional Prioritization

Justification

#1 LMI Housing Activities

Projects designed to provide for the housing needs of very low and low-moderate income families. May include the development of infrastructure for LMI housing projects, home buyers assistance programs, or the actual construction of housing units (including transitional, supportive, and/or homeless shelters), and housing rehabilitation. Meets a primary objective of the program: Housing. Traditionally CDBG funds leverage very large matching dollars from other sources.

#2 Community Facilities

Projects that traditionally have no available revenue source to fund them, or have been turned down traditionally by other funding sources, i.e., Permanent Community Impact Fund Board (PCIFB). May also include projects that are categorically eligible for Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) funding, i.e., senior citizens centers, health clinics, food banks, and/or public service activities. Does not include recreational centers.

#3 Public Utility Infrastructure

Projects designed to increase the capacity of water and other utility systems to better serve the customers and/or improve fire flow capacity. Other funding sources usually available. Adjusting water rates are a usual funding source. Other agencies also fund this category. Includes wastewater disposal projects.

#4 Public Safety Activities

Projects related to the protection of property, would include activities such as flood control projects or fire protection improvements in a community. Typically general fund items but most communities cannot fund without additional assistance. Grants help lower indebted costs to jurisdiction. Fire Protection is eligible for other funding i.e., PFCIB and can form Special Service Districts (SSD's) to generate revenue stream.

Adopted by the Five County Association of Governments Regional Review Committee (Steering Committee) August 14, 2002, as amended August 13, 2008.

#5 Projects to remove architectural barriers

Accessibility of public facilities by disabled persons is mandated by federal law but this is an unfunded mandate upon the local government. A liability exists for the jurisdiction because of potential suits brought to enforce requirements. Only CDBG and sometimes PCIFB have stepped up to fund this mandate.

#6 Parks and Recreation

Projects designed to enhance the recreational qualities of a community i.e., new picnic facilities, playgrounds, community centers, recreational centers, etc.

Note: The Executive Director consulted with the Executive Committee to discuss and receive approval of the regional prioritization, which were ratified by the Steering Committee on August 13, 2008.